# Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission

Reports of Meetings of Experts and Equivalent Bodies

# Joint IOC-JGOFS CO<sub>2</sub> Advisory Panel Meeting

# **Seventh Session**

Warnemuende, Germany 2-4 June 1997

**UNESCO** 

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Paris, 16 December 1998 English only

SC-99/WS/5

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SUMN	MARY REPORT	Page
1.	WELCOMING	1
2.	ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA	1
3.	RECENT EVENTS AND UPDATES	1
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	REVIEW OF EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES OOPC TIME SERIES WORKSHOP STATUS OF MODELING TAKAHASHI'S GLOBAL FLUX ESTIMATES DOE OCEAN MARGINS EXPERIMENT ATMOSPHERIC CO <sub>2</sub> ISSUES	1 1 2 2 4 4
4.	OCEAN CO2 MEASUREMENT ISSUES	5
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8 4.9	PROGRESS WITH REFERENCE MATERIALS INTERCOMPARISON EXERCISES CRUISE-TO-CRUISE DIFFERENCES STATE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THERMODYNAMICS OF CO <sub>2</sub> SYSTEM CO <sub>2</sub> SYSTEM CALCULATIONS IN ANOMALOUS WATERS VARIABILITY IN THE CARBON/NUTRIENTS SYSTEM VARIABILITY OF THE CO <sub>2</sub> SYSTEM AT DIFFERENT TIME SCALES CONTINENTAL SHELF CO <sub>2</sub> SOURCES, SINKS AND FLUXES ATMOSPHERIC O <sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENTS TO CONSTRAIN THE CARBON CYCLE	5 5 6 7 7 7 7
5.	GLOBAL pCO2 DATA SET	10
5.1	CDIAC INVENTORY OF CO <sub>2</sub> DATA	10
<b>6.</b> 6.1 6.2	STATUS OF <sup>13</sup> C MEASUREMENTS INVENTORY OF OCEAN <sup>13</sup> C DATA ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENTS	11 11 12
7.	GLOBAL CHANGE ISSUES	13
7.1	ANTHROPOGENIC CO <sub>2</sub>	13
8.	SOUTHERN OCEAN IRON EXPERIMENTS	13
9.	EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES	15

page (	ii)	Page
9.1	AUTONOMOUS OCEAN SYSTEMS	15
9.2	ATMOSPHERIC INSTRUMENTATION	16
10.	NATIONAL REPORTS	17
10.1	U.S.	17
10.2	U.K.	18
10.3	JAPAN	18
10.4	GERMANY	18
11.	LOOKING AHEAD TO THE POST- JGOFS ERA	19
12.	REFERENCES	20

# ANNEXES

I	Agenda
II	List of Participants
III	At-Sea Intercomparison Exercise
IV	Letter sent to John Church and Breck Owens (co-chairs of the WOCE SSG)
V	The Total Alkalinity $(A_t)$ in Anoxic Waters of the Black Sea and in Sea-River Mixture Zones

# 1. WELCOMING

Chairman Andrew Watson opened the seventh session of the IOC-JGOFS Advisory Panel on Ocean  $CO_2$  at 08:30, 2-4 June 1997, at the Baltic Sea Institute in Warnemuende, Germany. The Director of the Institute, Prof. Bodo von Bodungen, also welcomed participants and underscored the importance of obtaining an estimate of the ocean  $CO_2$  sink with narrower error bands than we have today. The complete list of participants with addresses, telephone numbers, etc. can be found in Annex II. Watson then thanked Bernd Schneider and those who assisted in hosting the meeting at the Institute.

# 2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The Panel members were invited to comment on the provisional agenda. After brief discussion, the Panel adopted the agenda as given in Annex I.

# 3. **RECENT EVENTS AND UPDATES**

# 3.1 REVIEW OF EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES

Arthur Alexiou reviewed the events and activities in the Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS) Program. A common understanding of of the GOOS concept is being promoted through three key planning documents: the GOOS Principles, the GOOS Strategic Plan and the GOOS 1998, a blueprint for developing implementation of GOOS. GOOS is restructuring somewhat to simplify relationships between the Intergovernmental Committee for GOOS (I-GOOS) and the Joint Scientific and Technical Commmittee for GOOS (J-GOOS). It will be directed by a GOOS Steering Committee (GSC), chaired by Worth Nowlin, that combines the current J-GOOS and the I-GOOS Strategic Subcommittee. Links with other observing systems (i.e., the Global Climate Observing System (GCOS) and the Global Terrestrial Observing System (GTOS)) are developing for jointly defining requirements for space observations and for data and information management. The Climate Module is developing plans for a Global Oceean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE) which has been adopted by the Committee on Earth Observing Satellites (CEOS). The Health of the Ocean Module is developing pilot projects. A new Capacity Building Panel has been established for developing capabilities in developing States. Apointments will be made shortly to Panels for the Coastal and the Living Resources Modules. To gain tangible support and commitments for GOOS from governments and National agencies, a GOOS Agreements Meeting is being planned for September of 1998 as an International Year of the Ocean event.

# 3.2 OOPC TIME SERIES WORKSHOP

Liliane Merlivat briefed the Panel on the Time Series Workshop held in Baltimore Maryland 18-20 March 1997and cosponsored by GOOS, GCOS, WCRP and JGOFS. It was convened to examination the contribution that time series observations have made to ocean science and to:

- assess the viability and feasibility of maintaining the existing stations;
- weigh the options for re-occupying sites for which long records exist and for which new technology might offer more cost-effective systems; and
- identify, on the basis of research results from programs like WOCE, TOGA and JGOFS, and scientific plans for new programs, where there are sound cases for establishing new sites.

The workshop provided a forum whereby an objective assessment of the unique contribution from time series data could take place and an opportunity to formulate objective criteria and specific suggestions for time-series observations that will be critical in future monitoring. Also undertaken was an evaluation of the role of various time-series observations that will be critical in future monitoring. The JGOFS sites at Bermuda and Hawaii were prominent in the discussions. The complete Report of the workshop can be found on the web at:

<http://WWW.BoM.GOV.AU/bmrc/mrlr/nrs/oopc/tswsrpt.htm>.

# 3.3 STATUS OF MODELING

Fortunat Joos was unable to attend the meeting but he provided the following update on ocean  $CO_2$  modeling issues for the report.

Modeling studies of Sarmiento and LeQuere and of Maier-Reimer and his colleagues addressed the impact of ocean circulation changes induced by global warming on the oceanic  $CO_2$  uptake. The main conclusions of the two studies are highly controversial. Sarmiento and LeQuere found that changes in ocean circulation have the potential to dramatically affect future oceanic  $CO_2$  uptake whereas Maier-Reimer's group concluded that changes in ocean circulation are not important for modeling future atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations. (See also section 7.1)

Maier-Reimer and colleagues have implemented a prognostic carbon cycle model in which various feedback mechanisms are operating which tend to cancel each other. On the other hand, Sarmiento and LeQuere used as an assumption in the global warming scenario that export production remains at today's level except in regions where the nutrients are depleted. This assumption is not very realistic under a changed ocean circulation. Additionally, they assessed two upper-limit scenarios by assuming a fully operating marine biosphere and an abiotic ocean to explore the potential range of oceanic  $CO_2$  uptake. There remain two open issues:

- (i) How realistic are simulated future ocean circulation changes for a prescribed radiative forcing scenario?
- (ii) How will the marine biosphere and the carbon export production be affected by global warming and ocean circulation changes?.

Oceanic radiocarbon measurements, e.g., <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>14</sup>C, have been and continue to be the standard tool to validate ocean circulation models on time scales of decades up to centuries. New data from the WOCE cruises will make it possible to assess ocean-circulation time scales on a much more detailed level than hitherto possible. The information contained in the <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>14</sup>C signals in inorganic carbon is complementary. The distribution of <sup>13</sup>C in DIC, besides by physical transport, is also strongly affected by the cycling of organic carbon. The <sup>13</sup>C recorded in sediments provides a link between the contemporary ocean and the paleoocean. Large data sets of <sup>13</sup>C measured on sediment cores exist. A full exploitation of the information contained in the DIC <sup>13</sup>C signals is presently hampered by the quality and the small amount of published data. It is thus important that measured data are made available as soon as possible and that <sup>13</sup>C measuring programs are continued.

Joos reported that N. Gruber has reconstructed anthropogenic DIC distribution in the Atlantic. He found, in agreement with Chen's results, an inventory of  $22 \pm 5$  Gt C in the North Atlantic and  $18 \pm 4$ Gt C in the South Atlantic for the year 1982. Joos also noted that Keeling and his colleagues, and Heimann and Meier Reimer have provided new estimates of the oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> uptake based on the observed decrease in atmospheric oxygen and on <sup>13</sup>C observations.

# 3.4 TAKAHASHI'S GLOBAL FLUX ESTIMATES

The first comprehensive global surface  $CO_2$  flux climatology produced by Takahashi of the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory and his colleagues is now in press [Takahashi et al.,1997]. Rik Wanninkhof explained that the climatology was prepared by combining data sets from several investigators with large holdings. The resulting 250,000 data points were binned into 6-hour averages, normalized to the year of 1990 and subsequently mapped on a 4° X 5 grid. Monthly global distributions of "delta pCO<sub>2</sub>" (pCO<sub>2</sub> in water minus pCO<sub>2</sub> in air) for each grid box were determined by interpolation in time and space using a 2-dimensional lateral transport model and the advective flow field derived from the GFDL general circulation model developed by Cox and Bryan [Bryan and Lewis, 1979]. Gas exchange coefficients were derived from parameterization of global monthly mean winds

(from Esbensen and Kushnir [1981]) using three frequently used relationships [Liss and Merlivat, 1986; Tans et al., 1990; Wanninkhof, 1992]. The resulting global uptake rates range from 0.6 to 1.3 GTonnes/yr (excluding equatorial fluxes for a non-El Niño year) with an uncertainty of 75 % resulting from the numerical interpolation scheme.

The zonal fluxes compare favorably with the fluxes derived from the Ocean Biogeochemical General Circulation Model developed by Sarmiento and co-workers at Princeton (see Figure 1). An interesting difference is the broader equatorial  $CO_2$  source in the climatology compared to the model. Comparison of the climatology with observations in regions where the climatology is not constrained by data shows significant differences (see Figure 2). This clearly indicates that incorporating more data will improve the constraints on the global  $CO_2$  fluxes calculated in this manner. The efforts by the IOC-JGOFS  $CO_2$  Sub-Panel to create a data inventory and subsequently a data repository of surface pCO<sub>2</sub> data will be crucial in these efforts.

Wanninkhof emphasized the importance of increasing the data set. Takahashi's exercise seems to be smearing the detail in air-sea fluxes that models show. There is now more data to include from the Indian Ocean.

The discussion focussed on the extent to which Takahashi's "pCO<sub>2</sub> climatology" adequately represents the seasonal pattern, and to what extent interannual variability in CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes can be inferred from a pCO<sub>2</sub> climatology and "real" wind information. This approach suggessts far less variability in the "ocean sink" than had been proposed by Francey et al from their analysis of <sup>13</sup>C variations in the atmosphere.

One interesting feature that was noted was that the predicted net ocean flux (about -2GtC/yr estimated from the  $pCO_2$  field is comparable with other estimates. This had not been considered likely given the high-frquency spatial and temporal variability that is observed.

C.S. Wong pointed out that his data base for the North Pacific (especially from the recent time series on the *R.V. Skaugran*) shows very high seasonal variability and also a subtantial effect of severe storms. His estimate has the North Pacific as a large sink of  $CO_2$  (about 0.7 GtC/yr) in contrast to earlier suggestions by Tans and his colleagues that it is a small source.



Figure 1. Comparison of air-to-sea  $CO_2$  fluxes for the climatology derived by Takahashi et al. 1997, and from the output of the Princeton ocean biogeochemical model. The yearly fluxes are for zonal bands with negative values indicating the flux from the ocean. Figure courtesy of R. Murnane, Princeton U.



Figure 2. Comparison of sea water  $pCO_2$  for the climatology derived by Takahashi et al., and from observations obtained during OACES cruise in October 1996 for a transect in the southern Indian Ocean along nominally 80°E.

# 3.5 DOE OCEAN MARGINS EXPERIMENT

Douglas Wallace commented on the experiment off the East coast of the U.S.to study the role of the continental margins in the sequestration of  $CO_2$  in the ocean. Moorings in the area between Chesapeake Bay and Cape Hatteras included biogeochemical sensors. The control- volume arrray consisted of 10 moorings in a box 15 km on a side. The  $O_2$  sensors were 90 % reliable;  $pCO_2$  sensors were 50%. Once the data are fully assembled they will be passed on to CDIAC.

#### 3.6 ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub> ISSUES

Graeme Pearman was unable to attend the meeting but submitted a report on atmospheric issues. His comments are included in the relevant sections of this report.

Members were informed of 5<sup>th</sup> International Carbon Dioxide Conference, the next in this quadrennial series of Conferences on the carbon cycle. It will be held at Cairns (Great Barrier Reef), Australia, September 8-12, 1997. It promises to be the most comprehensive conference on the issues of the global carbon cycle ever held, with participation from all major laboratories around the world and contributions from atmospheric, oceanic (physical and biological) and terrestrial biological fields. Whilst a number of members of the Panel are expected to be in attendance, others might like to consider talking part. Further details, including a list of both the oral and poster presentations are available at the web site:

<http://www.dar.csiro.au/pub/events/co2\_conf/>.

Pearman suggested it might be an excellent time for the Panel to hold an informal get-together.

# 4. OCEAN CO<sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENT ISSUES

# 4.1 PROGRESS WITH REFERENCE MATERIALS

Andrew Dickson briefly described the preparation of Reference Materials (RMs) for C<sub>T</sub> and A<sub>T</sub> measurements and presented statistical information about the distribution of bottled RMs. Approximately 16,000 bottles were provided to CO<sub>2</sub> groups all over the world. Dickson stated that the number of RMs delivered to non-U.S. groups is growing and at present is about 50% of the total number. Furthermore, certification procedures for C<sub>T</sub> and in particular for A<sub>T</sub> were addressed. A two-stage open cell titration with a coulometric HCl determination is used to determine A<sub>T</sub> in the RM. Accuracy of this method was examined by the analysis of synthetic solutions (tris, borax, sodium carbonate). It was pointed out that the weakest point in the determination of  $A_T$  is the choice of the chemical model which is used to determine the equivalence point. Other uncertainties are due to the determination of the NaCl background alkalinity. Improvements can be achieved by recrystallization of NaCl in the presence of chlorine. F.Millero reported on a comparison between the two-stage titration and the coulometric determination. Despite the use of different chemical models, agreement within  $\pm 2 \mu mol/kg$  could be achieved. Dickson mentioned that the production of RMs for C<sub>T</sub> and A<sub>T</sub> will be continued and that also certification of pH is planned. However, due to a reduced budget, the distribution policy will change in the future and the users of RMs will probably be charged (about \$50/bottle) for production and certification of the RM.

C.S. Wong outlined the requirements of RM for  ${}^{13}$  C/ ${}^{12}$ C measurements. For data quality control over a long period of time, it is important to ensure both the compatibility to WMO atmospheric  ${}^{13}$ C standards and an oceanic calibration system. These include:

- Seawater DIC RM from SIO, seawater, and seawater accessible from station P, at 1000 m, 2500 m, regularly (on cost recovery basis);
- (ii) Gaseous working standards t values close to atmospheric and oceanic values, e.g., 8‰, -1.5‰, 0‰, +2‰. The Ocean Biogeochemistry Group at IOS has facilities which produce standards by blending gases of depleted and enriched <sup>13</sup>C. Cylinders of these gases are available for the oceanic community, but the costs of production have not been worked out. IAEA gaseous standards are also available for atmospheric work (-8‰) at costs of about \$ 2000 per cylinder.
- (iii) Solid limestone NBS19 is available through IAEA. An oceanic solid RM can be created at lower costs, if there is sufficient demand.

#### 4.2 INTERCOMPARISON EXERCISES.

Dickson reported no further progress with the report on the Scripps Equilibrator Test. It has suffered due to other prorities and lack of funds.

Ludger Mintrop reported on the first international, at-sea intercomparison of underway  $pCO_2$  systems. After the equilibrator test at the Scripps laboratory, there was general agreement in the community that a second step was necessary for a controlled at-sea exercise under realistic and identical conditions for the different measurement systems being utilized. The test was funded through the German JGOFS project and carried out in June 1996 aboard the R.V. METEOR on on the first leg of cruise 36 in the North Atlantic. Ten institutions from seven countries participated. Annex III contains a more detailed description of the test.

#### 4.3 CRUISE-TO-CRUISE DIFFERENCES

With the end of the WOCE Hydrographic Program surveys and  $CO_2$  observations taken on these surveys, the next step of data synthesis has commenced. The utility of the total dissolved inorganic

carbon (DIC) data sets obtained on individual cruises will be greatly increased if they can be combined for each ocean basin, and subsequently to global scale. Wanninkhof informed the meeting that the US DOE survey team and NOAA/OACES investigators have developed working groups to synthesize the basin data. The synthesis efforts include:

- (i) Inventory of cruises and status of data quality control
- (ii) Comparison of cruise to cruise differences at cross over points with emphasis on differences in deep water. This effort will not be limited to DIC but will include other carbon parameters, oxygen, nutrients, and hydrographic parameters as well. Some of the parameters will be handled by WOCE/WHP investigators. Wanninkhof mentioned that an initial look comparing data at some crossover points from different cruises showed differences at great depths for salinity, but with systematic trends.
- (iii) Assess the cause of differences, that is, determine if they appear to be instrumental offsets or changes in water masses.
- (iv) Recommend corrections to be applied to datasets.

Current working group chairmen and participants are:

Atlantic Ocean:	Goyet (Chair) Wallace, Wanninkhof, Takahashi;
Pacific Ocean:	Feely (Chair), Winn;
Indian Ocean:	Sabine (Co-Chair), Peng co-chair, Wanninkhof;
Southern Ocean:	Takahashi (Chair), Feely.

Wanninkhof believed the  $CO_2$  Panel should play an important role in this effort by acting as a conduit for other national programs to collaborate. Many oceanographic issues of international importance such as oceanic uptake of anthropogenic  $CO_2$ , verification of results from large-scale ocean computer models predicting future uptake, and perturbation of the oceanic carbon system by climate change can only be quantified by comprehensive oceanic coverage. The large expansion of oceanic carbon programs in several nations in the past decade has given us an unparalleled opportunity to resolve the issues if datasets are shared.

Douglas Wallace proposed that it should be brought to the attention of WOCE that the high quality  $CO_2$  data set taken on WOCE cruises can provide a valuable constraint for the analysis of data on nutrients observations taken on WOCE cruises. It was agreed that a letter should be written to the WOCE Scientific Steering Group suggesting this application of the well calibrated  $CO_2$  data obtained by JGOFS on these cruises. The letter sent is shown in Annex IV.

# 4.4 STATE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THERMODYNAMICS OF CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM

Andrew Dickson stated that the scope for studying this problem is great. Depending on which constants one uses, an error of up to 2.4 % can result in computing  $pCO_2$ . He alluded to potential problems, e.g., in using the single solubility constant (K<sub>o</sub>), and although boron ancillary data are pretty well known, the pH that is used needs to match the K values used.. Dickson agreed to to write a paper detailing how bad the situation could be.

# 4.5 CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM CALCULATIONS IN ANOMALOUS WATERS

Petr Makkaveev illustrated one aspect of this problem when working in Black Sea waters. There, the simplified approach employed in open ocean waters for deriving alkalinity (accounting for only the boron and carbon) can yield errors of up to 11% or more because the concentrations of other ions are

much higher in the Black Sea than in the major ocean basins. A description of Makkaveev's analysis of Black Sea alkalinity is provided in Annex V.

### 4.6 VARIABILITY IN THE CARBON/NUTRIENTS SYSTEM

C.S. Wong showed long term changes that appear in the record obtained from Line P sections. He attributed changes in the record variously to dust from Alaska, periods of high winds, and higher fresh water input. He showed the nitrate supply was diminishing; upwelling had moved off the coast at Scripps a distance of 50 miles or more. Winter values of  $pCO_2$  had not changed noticeably over the entire record but summer  $pCO_2$  levels showed an increase of 150 µatm in 20 years due to the lower nitrate and consequent lesser draw-down.

#### 4.7 VARIABILITY OF THE CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM AT DIFFERENT TIME SCALES

Makkaveev reported on work in progress at the Shirshov Institute to "rescue" and process archived data on  $CO_2$  system parameters collected by various investigators in Russia during the period 1920s to 1990s. Old data were mostly pH and alkalinity, combined in more modern times with other data such as direct  $pCO_2$  measurement. The data cover all the major ocean regions, typically 2000 stations in the Pacific and 1500 each in the Atlantic and Indian oceans. The data were referenced to common pH scales, converted to  $pCO_2$ , binned monthly and by latitude band, with mathematical interpolation and extrapolation used to fill space and seasonal data boxes for regions in which data were sparse. Results show the seasonal and latitudinal effects, including biologically driven seasonal cycles in the high-latitude and mid-latitude regions, and high supersaturations in the Southern Ocean (see Figure 3).

In response to questions during discussion, Makkaveev stated that different pH scales were converted to common scales using the Geishes constants. Future plans include recalculation using the Roy *et al.* constants. Watson suggested that, besides publication in a Russian language journal, the results of the study should be submitted to an English language journal to ensure wide circulation. The panel could perhaps assist here by providing checks on the English style. Makkaveev affirmed that the data would be made available after publication.

The majority of Russian and former USSR data for carbon measurement system parameters are based on measurements of pH and A. Values of  $pCO_2$  and  $C_T$  are then calculated from these values. These calculations are more complicated in anoxic waters such as the Black Sea where it is necessary to account for the alkalinity contribution of species such as hydrogen sulfide, phosphate and ammonia (see section 4.5). Two sets of data for the Black Sea differ significantly in these parameters; however the reasons for such discrepancies are not clear.

# 4.8 CONTINENTAL SHELF CO<sub>2</sub> SOURCES, SINKS AND FLUXES

Shizuo Tsunogai discussed estimates he had made showing the possibility of as much as 1 GtC/yr being sequestered in the continental shelf areas; he referred to the process as the "continental pump". It was suggested that the IGBP should be encouraged to consider this as an area ripe for additional study. It is not so much the missing sink problem as it is getting a measure of the total flux.

# 4.9 ATMOSPHERIC O<sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENTS TO CONSTRAIN THE CARBON CYCLE

Graeme Pearman reported on using measurements of atmospheric  $O_2$  to constrain the global atmospheric and oceanic carbon cycles. Oxygen is a key player in the global carbon cycle as it is intimately linked to  $CO_2$  through the processes of photosynthesis, respiration and combustion. Importantly though, the air-sea exchange characteristics of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  are very different. Consequently, measurements of atmospheric  $O_2$  provide information about the global atmospheric and oceanic carbon cycles that is not accessible through measurements of  $CO_2$  alone. Unlike  $CO_2$ ,  $O_2$  has low solubility in sea water. This means that over time scales of years or more, uptake of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  by the oceans

Figure 3. Seasonable variability of the surface water  $pCO_2$  at different latitudes of the oceans.

Seasonal variability of the surface water pCO<sub>2</sub> at different latitudes in the Pacific ocean. Positive latitude correspond to Northern hemisphere, negative - to Southern.



Seasonal variability of the surface water pCO<sub>2</sub> at different latitudes in the Atlantic ocean. Positive latitude correspond to Northern hemisphere, negative - to Southern.



Seasonal variability of the surface water pCO<sub>2</sub> at different latitudes in the Indian ocean. Positive latitude correspond to Northern hemisphere, negative - to Southern.



proceeds without change to the oceanic  $O_2$  inventory. Any difference between the observed rate of depletion of  $O_2$  in the atmosphere and its rate of consumption predicted by fossil fuel burning may be interpreted in terms of a net change in the size of the terrestrial biosphere alone. By contrast, over shorter time scales,  $O_2$  is rapidly exchanged between the atmosphere and oceans in response to seasonal, biological and thermal forcing. This feature makes atmospheric  $O_2$  a useful tracer of oceanic carbon cycle processes.

Recent development of technologies capable of measuring the atmospheric  $O_2/N_2$  ratio at the required ppm-level precision (Keeling, 1988; Bender et al., 1994) lead to the establishment of global surface monitoring programs by Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO) and University of Rhode Island (URI) during the early 1990's. Published records of up to 5 years duration have revealed a decreasing trend in global  $O_2$  levels and have been used to determine the partitioning of  $CO_2$  uptake between the oceans and the terrestrial biosphere over this period (Bender et al., 1996; Keeling *et al.*, 1996). However, the mean long-term  $O_2$  trend and corresponding carbon budget terms are not yet well constrained, due mainly to the early 1990's being a period of anomalous low  $CO_2$  growth rate. Continued monitoring of atmospheric  $O_2$  through these programs and the more recently commenced program of the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO) is expected to deliver progressively tighter constraints on the global carbon budget as the records become longer.

Other strategies to determine the trend in atmospheric  $O_2$  have been explored. An estimate for the period 1977-1985 has been obtained by URI from air retrieved from the South Pole firm (Battle et al., 1996), but with precision limited by uncertainties associated with the dynamics of air movement within the firm. Trends deduced from sampling of other firm sites are likely to become available in the future, with the possibility of uncertainties being further reduced by careful selection of sites and by improved characterisation of the fractionating processes occurring within the firm. Work currently in progress at CSIRO aims to determine the mean  $O_2$  trend spanning the 19-year period 1978-1997 using the Cape Grim Air Archive, a collection of air samples collected in high-pressure tanks at the Cape Grim Baseline Observatory, Tasmania, for the purpose of being put aside as an archived record of background atmospheric composition (Langenfelds et al., 1996a).

Measurements reported by both SIO and URI (Bender et al., 1996; Keeling and Shertz, 1992, Keeling et al., 1993) show large seasonal cycles in both hemispheres which have been attributed to surface exchange processes. The southern hemisphere signal is driven primarily by seasonal air-sea fluxes and has been used to estimate rates of marine productivity, integrated over the oceans of the southern hemisphere. Inter-annual variability in marine productivity is evident. Further information relating to the spatial and temporal behaviour of the ocean carbon cycle will be provided through continued monitoring of atmospheric  $O_2$  at the surface sampling stations as well as through sampling from other platforms such as aircraft and ships. Measurements of  $O_2$  have already been incorporated into the CSIRO aircraft sampling program which obtains monthly vertical profiles from altitudes between 0 and 7.5 km in the troposphere above Cape Grim (Pak et al., 1996; Langenfelds et al., 1996b). First results have revealed a seasonal variation in the vertical gradient which is expected to further constrain the marine productivity estimates.

#### For further information see:

Battle, M, M Bender, T Sowers, P P Tans, J H Butler, J W Elkins, J T Ellis, T Conway, N Zhang, P Lang and A D Clarke (1996) Atmospheric gas concentrations over the past century measured in air from firn at the South Pole. *Nature* **383**, 231-235.

Bender, M L, P P Tans, J T Ellis, J Orchardo and K Habfast (1994) A high precision isotope ratio mass spectrometry method for measuring the  $O_2/N_2$  ratio of air. *Geochim. et Cosmochim. Acta* **58**, 4751-4758.

Bender, M, T Ellis, P Tans, R Francey and D Lowe (1996) Variability in the  $O_2/N_2$  ratio of southern hemisphere air, 1991-1994: Implications for the carbon cycle. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* **10**, 9-21.

Keeling, R F (1988) Development of an interferometric oxygen analyzer for precise measurement of the atmospheric  $O_2$  mole fraction. *Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University*, 178pp.

Keeling, R F, R G Najjar, M Bender and P P Tans (1993) What atmospheric oxygen measurements can tell us about the global carbon cycle. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 7, 37-67.

Keeling, R F and S R Shertz (1992) Seasonal and interannual variations in atmospheric oxygen and implications for the global carbon cycle. *Nature* **358**, 723-727.

Keeling, R F, S C. Piper and M Heimann (1996) Global and hemispheric CO<sub>2</sub> sinks deduced from changes in atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Nature* **381**, 218-221.

Langenfelds, R L, P J Fraser, R J Francey, L P Steele, L W Porter and C E Allison (1996a) The Cape Grim Air Archive: the first seventeen years, 1978 - 1995, in *Baseline Atmospheric Program (Australia)* 1994-95, edited by R J Francey, A L Dick and N Derek, p.53-70, Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Division of Atmospheric Research, Melbourne, Australia.

Langenfelds, R L, R J Francey, L P Steele, M P Lucarelli, D A Spencer, S A Coram and K Broadhurst (1996b). Flask sampling from Cape Grim overflights. *Baseline Atmospheric Program (Australia) 1994-95*, edited by R J Francey, A L Dick and N Derek, p.112-117, Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Division of Atmospheric Research, Melbourne, Australia.

Pak, B C, R L Langenfelds, R J Francey, L P Steele and I Simmonds (1996) A climatology of trace gases from the Cape Grim overflights, 1992 - 1995. *Baseline Atmospheric Program (Australia) 1994-95*, edited by R J Francey, A L Dick and N Derek, p.41-52, Bureau of Meteorology and CSIRO Division of Atmospheric Research, Melbourne, Australia.

# 5. GLOBAL pCO<sub>2</sub> DATA SET

## 5.1 CDIAC INVENTORY OF CO<sub>2</sub> DATA

Alex Kozyr provided some background on the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Centre (CDIAC) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. In 1993 the US Department of Energy (DOE) Global Change Research Programme (GCRP) asked CDIAC to manage US-sponsored JGOFS  $CO_2$  measurements taken aboard research vessels during the World Ocean Circulation Experiment (WOCE) Hydrographic Programme cruises. Although  $CO_2$  is not an official WOCE measurement, co-ordinators of WOCE agreed to permit scientists participating in the US DOE  $CO_2$  Ocean Survey Programme and other international ocean carbon measurement programmes, to obtain sea water samples and perform carbonate chemistry measurements on WOCE cruises. The last WOCE cruises will be completed in late 1997 and the final US sponsored  $CO_2$  data set is expected to cover 20,000 stations. All U.S. sponsored data are destined for archival at CDIAC.

To date,  $CO_2$  and hydrographic data from 39 WOCE cruises have been sent to CDIAC. Each data set is checked using programmes developed to assess data quality and consistency. Each data set will be fully documented, published and made available without charge in a variety of ways including via Internet. To date,  $CO_2$  data and hydrographic measurements from seven WOCE cruises have been fully documented and are now available. The remaining WOCE  $CO_2$  data sets are either being checked, documented, or require finalization of the hydrographic measurements. CDIAC is also beginning to pursue WOCE  $CO_2$  measurements made by international WOCE programmes.

In addition, CDIAC has also processed and documented two data sets submitted by the NOAA Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (PMEL), underway  $pCO_2$  and  $N_2O_2$  data spanning 1977-1990 from Scripps Institution of Oceanography (SIO), final shore based  $CO_2$  measurements from the

Geochemical Ocean Section Study (GEOSECS), final carbonate and hydrographic measurements from the Transient Tracers in the Ocean (TTO) study, and carbonate and hydrographic measurements from the Weddel Sea. Other oceanic  $CO_2$  data sets residing at CDIAC include data from the South Atlantic Ventilation Experiment (SAVE) and earlier cruises (e.g., 1957 Downwind cruise, 1961 Monsoon cruise, and the Lusiad cruises of the 1960s) will be processed.

To obtain  $CO_2$  data from data-sparse regions and to rescue important data that may be lost, CDIAC recently teamed with the National Oceanographic Data Centre and the World Data Centre - A for Oceanography to rescue historic data from the Arctic and other regions. This collaborative effort ensures the long-term archival of these data in a machine-readable form, assessment of their data quality, full description of these data and publication and ready access to these data.

In summary, Kozyr stated that CDIAC now has the largest collection of ocean and atmospheric  $CO_2$ -related measurements in the world. Present and planned activities coupled with an increased willingness by researchers from around the world to submit their data to CDIAC will further strengthen this collection.

Kozyr noted that CDIAC has started to work on compilation of an electronic ocean-carbon atlas with all DOE, NOAA, international, and historical carbonate chemistry measurements. The computer atlas will contain profiles of all carbon and hydrographic parameters for every cruise and will be made available by CDIAC without charge to anyone. The atlas will offer an optional selection of procedures for interpolation, averaging, smoothing and sub-sampling of data and results. The Atlas will help to locate data from a simple query, to produce plots (fields, sections, etc.), and will run different statistical and oceanographic applications.

For more information about CDIAC's data collection, check the Web page at: http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/oceans/home.html.

In discussion, the Panel expressed a desire to show its appreciation for the work undertaken by CDIAC for the international ocean  $CO_2$  community. It was agreed that the chairman would write a letter to the US DOE that would convey this appreciation and express thanks for their efforts in establishing a database for ocean  $CO_2$  measurements.

Alain Poisson had been expected to lead a discussion on how to get responders to the inventory exercise to submit their data to CDIAC. He was unexpectedly forced to cancel his attendance at this meeting, however, and a full discussion on the effort was hampered somewhat. It was agreed that the chairman would work with Poisson and other members to draft appropriate letters of request for data and a special cruise information form that would be sent to investigators in possession of  $pCO_2$  data. (Note: The letters and response forms were subsequently sent in December 1997.)

#### 6. STATUS OF <sup>13</sup>C MEASUREMENTS

### 6.1 INVENTORY OF OCEAN <sup>13</sup>C DATA

C.S. Wong, chairman of the sub-panel on <sup>13</sup>C, reported on an inventory of <sup>13</sup>C samples collected so far by Canadian and U.S. groups. By Canadian researchers, in the North Pacific depth profiles have been taken mainly on meridional WOCE lines, on a transect towards Australia and on a line off Kamchatka, the latter in cooperation with Russian groups. Along zonal transects from Canada to Japan surface samples were collected, average sampling distance about 2°. Time series were sampled mainly along line P and at station P. Due to other measurement programs, so far, only a smaller part of the samples have been measured.

Apart from the Canadian samples, U.S. groups (such as Paul Quay, Charles Keeling) concentrated on WOCE lines in the Pacific collecting depth profiles and several surface transects. Atlantic samples, however, are scarce compared to the Pacific data set. Though not all samples have been measured yet, those where  $C_T$  has been measured by manometric methods in Charles Keeling's lab have been extracted and are ready for <sup>13</sup>C determination.

Besides WOCE lines and some other transects, <sup>13</sup>C samples are routinely taken at the time series stations HOT and BATS. Also a great number of <sup>13</sup>C data have emerged from the<sup>13</sup> C measurements carried out by the Woods Hole AMS lab. A total of 12000 samples have been analyzed for the Pacific and Indian Oceans, while the Atlantic is only represented by about 300 samples. Precision of the <sup>13</sup>C measurements by the AMS-technique is estimated to be about  $0.015^{\circ}/_{oo}$ .

S. Tsunogai reported on Japanese of <sup>13</sup>C measurements along a meridional transect at 165 E where GEOSECS data are also available. He pointed out that from  $C_T$  measurements, corrected for different apparent O<sub>2</sub> utilization (AOU) and phosphate consumption, a northward increase of surface values could be observed. Supported by the <sup>13</sup>C data, these waters obviously lose CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere in winter, before carbon fixation in summer extracts CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere. Because of the higher atmospheric values nowadays, the winter source term is reduced, leaving the summer sink function unaffected. The net effect makes the North Pacific a sink for CO<sub>2</sub> at present, in contrast to preindustrial times.

T. Johannessen added that in Norway and other Scandinavian countries a substantial <sup>13</sup>C sampling program has been conducted since 1986, covering the Nordic Seas and the Arctic Ocean. Efforts are being undertaken to make these data available to the community to help fill the gap in the Atlantic ocean data. Still, very few data exist in the Atlantic, compared to other oceanic regions.

C.S. Wong pointed out the need for reference standards (i.e., gas standards and sea water reference material) to bring measurements by different groups to a common level for comparison purposes. He emphasized the need to regularly conduct intercomparison exercises on a continuing basis to ensure long-term data quality control.

# 6.2 ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENTS

Graeme Pearman was unable to atend the meeting and provided the following report to the Panel.

Measurements of  $\delta^{13}$ C of CO<sub>2</sub> assist the partitioning of fossil CO<sub>2</sub> into terrestrial and oceanic reservoirs. Measurements of O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> have recently been applied to the same task (see below). The methodology for both tracers involves the measurement of temporal or spatial changes and relating the changes to net carbon fluxes between surface reservoirs and the atmosphere. However, for both tracers, atmospheric variations can be influenced by second order effects. In the case of the  $\delta^{13}$ C tracer the large gross turnover of CO<sub>2</sub> with oceanic and terrestrial reservoirs can change atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C in the absence of a net flux, if the exchanging reservoirs are out of isotopic equilibrium. Regional disequilibria, particularly in the oceans, can be appreciable and are heterogeneous, so that it is difficult as yet to determine global average values. A large number of process studies and surveys of surface carbon isotopic composition in recent years, when fully assimilated, are expected to go part way to improving estimates of global isotopic disequilibria.

A further barrier to reducing uncertainties in terrestrial fluxes derived from atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C data is the limited spatial coverage of high precision isotopic observations, stemming in no small part to calibration uncertainties which have so far generally prevented merging of data from different sampling and measurement networks. Calibration uncertainties within a network are also implied in the serious conflict between published records of inter-annual variability in global terrestrial and oceanic exchanges. In each case the uncertainties are considerably larger than quoted precisions of individual network results. (This should be seen in the context that the precision requirements for global carbon budgeting using atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C measurements are close to an order of magnitude higher than for conventional  $\delta^{13}$ C applications). Since 1991, an "operational inter-calibration" has been developed between NOAA and CSIRO networks which is now monitoring comparability to within around 0.01 per mil in  $\delta^{13}$ C in seasonal values. A number of previously unidentified systematic effects have been identified in each laboratory. Coupled with improved conventional high pressure cylinder calibrations the operational inter-calibration is on the verge of providing spatial coverage of order 100 global sites with high precision and unprecedented robust verification.

For further information concerning these and related issues, members of the Panel are invited to contact Dr Roger J Francey (rjf@dar.csiro.au).

# 7. GLOBAL CHANGE ISSUES

# 7.1 ANTHROPOGENIC CO<sub>2</sub>

With improved accuracy of measurements and a large increase in observations of carbon system parameters and nutrients, estimates of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  uptake in the oceans can be improved or verified. Three different approaches can be taken to quantify anthropogenic  $CO_2$  uptake:

- (i) Use of general ocean circulation models (GCM) incorporating biogeochemical models with differing levels of sophistication (e.g., Sarmiento [1992]).
- (ii) Determine the difference in carbon uptake compared to previous cruises by multi-variate techniques [Wallace, 1995] or by comparison with preformed values along density horizons.
- (iii) By extrapolating preformed DIC values from the surface to the interior and subtracting the increase in DIC due to remineralization and calcium carbonate dissolution. This method was first proposed by Brewer, and Chen and Millero [1978; 1979] and has recently been improved by Gruber et al.[1996].

A comparison of approach (i) and (iii) has recently been performed for the Atlantic along nominally 25°W from 40°S to 60°N using a dataset obtained during the 1991/93 NOAA/OACES cruise. General trends are similar with large calculated uptakes in the North Atlantic and smaller uptakes in the tropical and sub tropical gyres. Specific inventories from the three methods differ by about 20 % with the GCM providing the smallest estimate (see Figure 4). The large uptakes inferred for the North Atlantic by Chen and Millero [1979] can be in part explained by the "anomalous" AOU values and air-sea pCO<sub>2</sub> disequilibria in this region and/or by incorrect assumptions about winter outcrop values. Given the large quantity of high-quality data, it is unlikely the limitations for the uptake estimates are attributable to the DIC data, the suspects are the assumptions about concentrations of DIC,  $A_T$  and  $O_2$  in the outcrop regions, and estimates of photosynthetic coefficients (Redfield ratios).

# 8. SOUTHERN OCEAN IRON EXPERIMENTS

A. Watson reminded the Panel of the result of the Pacific ocean "Ironex" experiments which provided conclusive evidence that iron supply was important in determining  $CO_2$  concentrations in the surface waters of the equatorial Pacific. However, our present understanding of the carbon cycle suggests that only in the Southern Ocean would such effects have a substantial influence on atmospheric  $pCO_2$  values. It thus becomes of increasing importance to discover whether similar effects can be observed in the "high nutrient low chlorophyll" regions of the Southern Ocean.

A proposal to test Southern Ocean iron limitation, submitted to US-JGOFS in late 1995, was turned down, as was a proposal submitted to the U.K's NERC in 1996. In both cases the reasons for



70

65

60 55 50

45

40 35 30

25 20 15

- 10

— 5 — 0

Figure 4. Anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> input (in µmol/kg) determined by using:

(a) the method proposed by Chen et al. 1979;

- (b) the Princeton 3-D Ocean circulation model; and
- (c) the method of Gruber et al. (1996).

declining the proposals were not related to the quality of the science, but rather to logistical and political constraints. However, proposals are now funded by a European consortium and a New Zealand-led group, and it seems very likely that "Southern Ocean Ironex" will take place before the end of the decade

C.S. Wong claimed that the North Pacific was also important for such studies. It is episodically "fertilized" by a variety of atmospheric iron sources and this will have implications for phytoplankton speciation and their genetic ability to use iron.

The Canadians are thus planning a controlled experiment with the Japanese Central Research Institute of the Electric Power Industry (CREIPI) to study some of these aspects of iron fertilization. The experiment will be run in enclosed volumes of sea water and will examine the effects of iron on productivity and phytoplankton speciation, and on processes such as production of DMS

# 9. EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

# 9.1 AUTONOMOUS OCEAN SYSTEMS

Liliane Merlivat updated the Panel on developments regarding the CARIOCA Buoy system. It has been deployed successfully in the Greenland Sea on a drifter and in the Mediterranean Sea on a mooring with an additional feature of a wind- speed sensor mounted on top of the ARGOS buoy.

At the DYNAMED site (JGOFS time-series mooring) during the period May 1995 to March 1996, both  $pCO_2$  and SST were continously measured and  $pCO_2$  at constant temperature was also computed. Effects of deepwater mixing will be to increase  $pCO_2$ .

Another test, 16 January to March 1997, The fluorometer for Chl-a detected changes due to a big spring bloom and mixing. Ten hours after the wind increased,  $fCO_2$  also increased. It also detected a large biological change in spring with a large chl-a increase. In March-June 1997, SST and  $fCO_2$  both increased, but when corrected to 13°C,  $fCO_2$  at 13°C was quite constant.

The CARIOCA test in the Greenland Sea gyre was successful in  $-1^{\circ}$ C waters on a drifting buoy, from 75°N 5°W to an island in the south of Norway (15°E). The major change in fCO<sub>2</sub> was due to mixing of deep waters, with minor changes due to gas exchange and the solubility effect. Diurnal changes in Chl-a was also recorded clearly.

A test in the equatorial Pacific was successful for three weeks, but then failed due to fouling.

Andrew Watson described two new features: (i) the addition of pH to the IMCORP buoys so that pH can be estimated along with  $pCO_2$  since both systems use the same dye; and (ii) using a ship-of-opportunity to do  $pCO_2$  (photometric dye), pH and DIC (LICOR IR) worked very well. For DIC the accuracy was  $\pm 6 \mu$ M for a 3 minute measurement and improved to  $\pm 4 \mu$ M for a 20 minute measure.

Andrew Dickson showed results of tests of an underway pH system. The pH stabilized to within 0.001 after half an hour. The reliability has improved to the point where others can use it with minimum instruction. Now the system can be kept working for three to four weeks. It has been successful in detecting changes due to upwelling and composite changes due to mixing and productivity.

Rick Wannikhof alerted the Panel to the installation on two TOGA moorings, of MBARI analyzers for  $f CO_2$  and nutrients. The installation was made about six months ago and looks promising. He indicated there were plans for outfitting the new NOAA ship as a test bed for autonomous sensors. MBARI plans to install sensors for pCO<sub>2</sub>, TCO<sub>2</sub> and Chl-a on the NOAA ship.

# 9.2 ATMOSPHERIC INSTRUMENTATION

Graeme Pearman's report provided descriptions of new instrumentation for atmospheric measurements as follows:

(i) Absolute measurement standards. The University of Colorado and the Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, Boulder have developed a manometric system for absolute calibrations of CO<sub>2</sub>-in-air gas mixtures. The purpose is to routinely calibrate CO<sub>2</sub> standards for use in the propagation of the CO<sub>2</sub> calibration scale world-wide. Intercalibration between sites is necessary on order to compile comparable global data sets. The system has been tested to 00.1 ppmv but is not fully operational yet. Further information is available in:

Cong Long Zhao, Pieter Tans and Kirk Thoning (1997). A high precision manometric system for absolute calibrations of  $CO_2$  in dry air. J.Geophys.Res., **102**, 5885-5894.

(ii) In Situ Atmospheric Measurement. The CSIRO Division of Atmospheric Research, Melbourne is developing a fully computer controlled, remotely controlled CO<sub>2</sub> analyser system for performing baseline atmospherics observations of CO<sub>2</sub> at Cape Grim Observatory, north-west Tasmania. It uses non-dispersive technology as with most existing monitoring systems.

There are several objectives of the new instrumentation:

A. reduction by a factor of 20 in the consumption of reference and calibration gases achieved through low flow rates and reduced internal volumes and surface areas.

A reduction in the number of levels in the calibration scale hierarchy.

An increase in precision and accuracy, achieved by computer control of all instrument operating variables, namely temperature, pressure, and flow.

- Ability to perform in situ measurements, calibration standard measurements and flask measurements all on the same system.
- A reduction in operating costs, achieved by automation of the measurement process.
- Preliminary calibration runs indicate performance to better than 30 ppbv is possible.
- (iii) Simultaneous Measurement Of Multiple Species Including CO<sub>2</sub>. The University of Wollongong has developed a prototype instrument capable of measuring the concentration of several species in air simultaneously, including CO<sub>2</sub>. It uses Fourier-transform infrared technology. It is well suited to research applications involving chambers enclosing plant and soil materials. The precision is species dependent, and is affected to some degree by the ability to accurately model all the spectral components. Some field experience has been gathered. For further information see:

M B Esler, D W T Griffith, S R Wilson and L P Steele (1996) Carbon monoxide, nitrous oxide, methane and carbon dioxide- trace gas analysis by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. In Baseline Atmospheric Program, Australia 1994-95, p.117-119, CSIRO Atmospheric Research, Melbourne.

D W T Griffith (1996) Synthetic calibration and qualitative analysis of gas-phase FT-IR spectra. *Applied Spectroscopy* **50**, 59-70.

(iv) Surface Flux Measurement. The Climate Monitoring and Diagnostics Laboratory, Boulder, is currently designing and constructing a new non-dispersive infrared analyser for use in flux measurements. The major design objective for this application is to achieve the higher frequency response needed for flux measurements. To this end the bulk of the effort is being put into the design of a tiny measurement cell of volume 1 cm<sup>3</sup>, roughly a factor of 10 smaller than that currently available commercially. In addition, the cell shape and fittings have been designed to minimize flushing times. Commercial systems generally use simple rectangular extrusions of the cells, with characteristically poor flushing characteristics. The instrument appears to be still very much in the development stage.

# 10. NATIONAL REPORTS

10.1 U.S.

The NOAA Ocean-Atmosphere Carbon Exchange Study (OACES) is being revised to form a more comprehensive program. Major changes which have been implemented or will likely be implemented include:

- \* The formation of the Carbon Modeling Consortium (CMC)
- \* A focus on hypothesis driven research
- \* A de-emphasis on the long line observational work
- \* An increased emphasis on automated and autonomous instruments on moorings and ships of opportunity

In 1995 the CMC was formed under leadership of Dr. J. Sarmiento of Princeton University with primary funding by NOAA/CEDEP and augmentation by NOAA/OACES. The aim of this effort is to form a coherent and comprehensive picture of the terrestrial, oceanic, and atmospheric carbon cycles by using a combination of models and field observations. The focus on the short term will be understanding interannual variability. The OACES effort to date has concentrated on an observational strategy of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>13</sup>C measurements at approximately 30 coastal stations, and comprehensive carbon system measurements (DIC, pCO<sub>2</sub>, pH, A<sub>T</sub>, <sup>13</sup>DIC, DOC) along long lines in the ocean. This effort was leveraged on the WOCE/WHP effort. With the end of the WOCE/WHP and completion of the baseline ocean CO<sub>2</sub> survey, continued sponsorship of the long line effort is in doubt. The rational to continue the effort on select nine lines covering each ocean basin has been outlined in Taft et al. [1995]. The thought in this blueprint is that a decadal reoccupation of these lines will serve as a prudent way to detect significant changes in carbon and tracer uptake between large scale surveys like those just completed under the aegis of WOCE/WHP. However, the intent of the refocussed OACES is to make it a more hypothesis-driven effort with increased emphasis on atmospheric measurements and fluxes between the ocean-atmosphere-terrestrial system.

To increase our understanding of short term variability in the global carbon cycle and to increase the numbers of samples per research dollar there will be an increased emphasis on automated and autonomous instruments on moorings and ships of opportunities. In collaboration with MBARI and with augmentation of funding by NASA, OACES has outfitted two TOGA/TAO moorings in the equatorial Pacific with pCO<sub>2</sub> sensors. The moorings also are equipped with automated nitrate analyzers, fluorometers, and optical sensors. The NOAA buoy tending ship, Ka'imimoana, has an underway pCO<sub>2</sub> instrument which will give equatorial pCO<sub>2</sub> data of unparalleled resolution. Furthermore the NOAA ship BROWN will be outfitted with a suite of underway sensors (DIC, pCO<sub>2</sub>, chlorophyll, O<sub>2</sub>) and will have a dedicated operator on all cruises. Aside from increasing our surface observations over large parts of the world's oceans, the ship will serve as a test bed for autonomous sensors for moorings and ships of opportunities.

### 10.2 U.K.

Since the disbanding of the  $CO_2$  group at Plymouth in late 1995, there has been relatively little activity. A. J. Watson is currently in the process of rebuilding a  $CO_2$  research group, based at the University of East Anglia. Activities during 1996 have included:

- (i) participation in a process study called 'PRIME' in the North Atlantic. This study used tracerlabelling techniques to study the budgets of carbon, nutrients and trace species, such as DMS, in a body of water that was tracked over a period of two weeks in the North Atlantic near 60°N, 20°W;
- (ii) participation in the Chilean JGOFS programme "Sectorial Antapagusta" cruises. These are a study of the intense upwelling region off Chile taking place in January and June 1997.
- 10.3 JAPAN

Japanese scientists organized an international symposium related to JGOFS at Mutsu, northern Japan, in November 1996. The title of this symposium was "Biogeochemical Processes in the North Pacific".

At the JGOFS-SSC meeting in May, Japanese JGOFS announced that the North Pacific Process Study will begin in April 1998. Japanese groups are focusing on the long-term biogeochemical observations by setting up the fixed station in the western North Pacific.

During the 7th Joint IOC-JGOFS Ocean  $CO_2$  Advisory Panel Meeting, Japan offered to host the 2nd international ocean  $CO_2$  symposium entitled " $CO_2$  in the Oceans" which will be held in Tsukuba, Japan, after December 1998. (The date was subsequently set as 18-22 January 1999.)

Internationally, the Japan Oceanographic Data Centre is a member of the JGOFS-Data Management Task Team; it also acts as the Data Management Office of Japan.

The Japanese LOICZ group is planning a project, called the Western Pacific Rim Study, to investigate the connection between physical properties to biological productivity in the marginal seas along the Asian coast, using data from the Japanese satellite MIDORI (OCTS sensor).

#### 10.4 GERMANY

In 1996 the group at the Institute for Marine Sciences at Kiel organized an international intercomparison of underway  $pCO_2$  systems on board the METEOR. On a cruise from Bermuda to Gran Canaria 7, groups participated with their  $pCO_2$  systems. Also a number of related parameters were measured either by underway systems or from discrete surface samples collected along the track. On a second leg, carbon data were measured on a meridional transect along 20° W from Gran Canaria up to 60° N;  $C_T$  and  $A_T$  were measured from depth profiles at hydrographic stations and surface  $pCO_2$  was monitored by an underway system. In early 1997, a joint cruise with the Spanish partners was undertaken on METEOR in the Canary Island region under the CANIGO program.

Investigations by the Baltic Sea Research Institute, Warnemuende, on the seasonality of the  $CO_2$  system in the Northeast Atlantic were continued during a cruise with R.V. GAUSS in June 1996. Measure ments of  $C_T$  and  $pCO_2$  were performed along the WOCE line A1E. With regard to the Baltic Sea, a balance of the  $CO_2$  air/seafluxes was modelled on the basis of data from a summer and a winter cruise. Additionally, measurements on  $C_T$ ,  $pCO_2$ , DOC and POC in the eastern Gotland Sea were initiated in order to develop a comprehensive carbon budget for this area.

Activities at the Institute for Marine Sciences in Kiel for the next 3 years will concentrate on the synthesis of JGOFS data from the North Atlantic. This will include an inventory of data collected as well as a comparison with model output from the Hamburg GCM model that was coupled with an improved biological model. The penetration and inventory of anthropogenic  $CO_2$  will be evaluated from the data. Another activity will be carbon measurements in the recently started Joint Research Program on the Variability of the Thermohaline Circulation. Field work is planned for 1997 and 1999 and a minor expedition in 1998, covering the Western and Eastern North Atlantic. In cooperation with Spanish groups, the carbon system in the Canary Island-Azores Region will be investigated under the European Mast III program CANIGO. Intercalibration exercises have been carried out with the Spanish groups.

The investigation in the Northeast Atlantic by the Baltic Sea Research Institute will be continued with one cruise per year. If possible, measurements will be performed along the WOCE track A1E. Also,  $CO_2$  research in the Baltic Sea will continue with the ultimate goal to produce carbon budgets for the Baltic Sea as a whole and its sub-basins as well.

# 11. LOOKING AHEAD TO THE POST- JGOFS ERA

The key questions looming for the post-JGOFS era that the Panel considered were:

- (i) What program should succeed JGOFS?
- (ii) What role should the new entity take in relationship to IGBP?

Several initiatives proposed in the past as follow-on programs have fallen by the wayside. For instance, interest in the Global Ocean Euphotic Zone Study (GOEZS) has waned and appears to have been dropped by IGBP. Andrew Watson informed the Panel about a SCOR and IGBP working group which met in January 1997 to consider the future of marine-biogeochemistry climate studies. The working group proposed a new project, the "Surface Ocean - Lower Atmosphere Study" (SOLAS), with some of the elements of GOEZS embodied in it. but with a new approach. The project would include observations and modeling built around hypotheses rather than modeling efforts to fit the data. The following goals were proposed for SOLAS:

- (i) to formulate and test hypotheses for key interactions between the marine biogeochemical system, the atmosphere and climate;
- (ii) to quantify cause and effect in those interactions;
- (iii) to incorporate this new understanding into models.

Example hypotheses in need of testing given by the working group included:

- (i) Marine sulphur emissions have a substantial effect on climate by influencing cloud albedo.
- (ii) The influence of changes in marine biogeochemistry on ocean uptake of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the next century will be small.
- (iii) The principal effect on the marine production in a warmer world would be a decrease in global productivity, consequent upon a slowing of the thermohaline circulation.

Further development and prioritisation of these principal hypotheses will, however, be part of the planning of the programme - it is not intended for these to be set in stone at this stage.

The next IPCC assessment was discussed. Watson gave his impressions of the state of ocean  $CO_2$  in the IPCC scheme of things. Research on ocean  $CO_2$  problems has become a lower priority in the large environmental science plans. This can be attributed to several factors:

- (i) The IPCC 1995 report allocates the "missing sink" to terrestrial biosphere uptake. The ocean uptake is given at  $2.0 \pm 0.8$  GtC/yr at 95 % confidence interval. This estimate is based on models and does not have a strong observational basis. This hard number and the lack of a clear strategy (or ability) to constrain this value further has led to the impression that the ocean CO<sub>2</sub> problem has been solved.
- (ii) The role of  $CO_2$  on greenhouse forcing is well constrained compared to other greenhouse agents. The uncertainty in the global warming potential of  $CO_2$  is significantly smaller than, for instance, the role of clouds, aerosols, or water vapor on radiative forcing.
- (iii) Particularly in the US, climate and global change issues have been binned according to time scales; seasonal to interannual, and decadal to centennial. Ocean carbon has been put in the DEC-CEN program. Since seasonal to interannual problems often are more tractable and often appear to have a greater societal relevance (by nature of our short memories!), much of the research support has been allocated to seasonal to interannual issues.

The Panel conluded that the ocean  $CO_2$  issues should be viewed in a different framework. They believed the focus of CO<sub>2</sub> research should be global change rather than the greenhouse gas issue which is well constrained. Several issues with respect to CO<sub>2</sub> research are of global significance, in particular feedback issues and interannual variability. We have little insight on what will happen with the ocean carbon cycle in response to global change. Two end-member scenarios are: a strong positive feedback due to SST increase, causing pCO<sub>2</sub> increase due to SST increase (thermodynamic effect), and pCO decrease due to a more efficient biological pump in warmer oceans (biological effect). Recent modeling work [Sarmiento and Le Quere, 1996] suggest that the largest decrease in oceanic uptake capacity will occur due to global warming because of decreased thermohaline overturning. Atmospheric observations of CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>13</sup>C during the 1980s suggest large (1-3 GtC/yr) interannual variability in oceanic and terrestrial uptake [Francey et al., 1995; Keeling et al., 1995]. The standard deviation in oceanic uptake based on the analysis of Francey [1995] is 1.5 GtC /yr. Variations in the equatorial Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> source regions because of El Niños can account for roughly 1/3 of the variation but we have no firm evidence of other reasons for the large apparent interannual variability. Iron fertilization by volcanic ash from mount Pinatubo has been suggested as cause for the slowdown in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> increase in the early nineties but this hypothesis has yet to be proven.

The Panel concluded that future oceanic carbon cycle research should focus on these issues of climate and global change feedbacks and interannual change. Some of the issues can be best approached by hypothesis-driven studies involving deliberate perturbations.

# 12. **REFERENCES**

Francey, R.J., P.P. Tans, C.E. Allison, I.G. Enting, J.W.C. White, and M. Trolier, Changes in oceanic and terrestrial carbon uptake since 1982, Nature, 373, 326-330, 1995.

Keeling, C.D., T.P. Whorf, M. Wahlen, and J. van der Plicht, Interannual extremes in the rate of rise of atmospheric carbon dioxide since 1980, Nature, 375, 666-670, 1995.

Keeling, R.F., S.C. Piper, and M. Heimann, Global and hemispheric CO<sub>2</sub> sinks deduced from changes in atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> concentration., Nature, 381, 218, 1996.

Sarmiento, J.L., and C. Le Quere, Oceanic Carbon Dioxide Uptake in a Model of Century-Scale Global Warming., Science, 274, 1346-1350, 1996.

Taft, B., J. Bullister, R. Feely, J. Johnson, and R. Wanninkhof, NOAA Carbon dioxide tracer program: an integrated approach to decadal ocean climate change, Unpublished, 1995.

Brewer, P.G., Direct observation of the oceanic  $CO_2$  increase, Geophys. Res. Let., 5, 997-1000, 1978. Bryan, K., and L.J. Lewis, A water mass model of the world ocean, J.Geophys. Res., 84, 2503-2517, 1979.

Chen, G.-T., and F.J. Millero, Gradual increase of oceanic CO<sub>2</sub>, Nature, 277, 205-206, 1979.

Esbensen, S.K., and Y. Kushnir, The heat budget of the global ocean: An atlas based on estimates from surface marine observations, Oregon State University, Corvallis OR, 1981.

Gruber, N., J.L. Sarmiento, and T.F. Stocker, An improved method fordetecting anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> in the oceans, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 10, 809-837, 1996.

Liss, P.S., and L. Merlivat, Air-sea gas exchange rates: Introduction and synthesis, in The Role of Air-Sea Exchange in Geochemical Cycling, edited by P. Buat-Menard, pp. 113-129, Reidel, Boston, 1986.

Sarmiento, J.L., J.C. Orr, and U. Siegenthaler, A perturbation simulation of  $CO_2$  uptake in an ocean general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 3621-3645, 1992.

Takahashi, T., R.A. Feely, R. Weiss, R. Wanninkhof, D.W. Chipman, S.C.Sutherland, and T.T. Takahashi, Global air-sea flux of  $CO_2$ : An estimate based on measurements of sea-air p $CO_2$  difference, in NAS Colloquium volume on Carbon Dioxide and Climate Change, edited by C.D. Keeling, NAS, Washington, 1997.

Tans, P.P., I.Y. Fung, and T. Takahashi, Observational constraints on the global atmospheric  $CO_2$  budget, Science, 247, 1431-1438, 1990.

Wallace, D.W.R., Monitoring Global Ocean Carbon Inventories, Ocean Observing System Development Panel, 1995.

Wanninkhof, R., Relationship between gas exchange and wind speed over the ocean., J. Geophys. Res., 97, 7373-7381, 1992.

#### ANNEX I

### AGENDA

### 1. WELCOMING

### 2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

#### 3. **RECENT EVENTS AND UPDATES**

- 3.1 REVIEW OF EVENTS AND ACTIVITIES
- 3.2 OOPC TIME SERIES WORKSHOP
- 3.3 STATUS OF MODELING
- 3.4 TAKAHASHI'S GLOBAL FLUX ESTIMATES
- 3.5 DOE OCEAN MARGINS EXPERIMENT
- 3.6 ATMOSPHERIC CO<sub>2</sub> ISSUES

# 4. OCEAN $CO_2$ MEASUREMENT ISSUES

- 4.1 **PROGRESS WITH REFERENCE MATERIALS**
- 4.2 INTERCOMPARISON EXERCISES
- 4.3 CRUISE-TO-CRUISE DIFFERENCES
- 4.4 STATE OF KNOWLEDGE OF THERMODYNAMICS OF CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM
- 4.5 CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM CALCULATIONS IN ANOMALOUS WATERS
- 4.6 VARIABILITY IN THE CARBON/NUTRIENTS SYSTEM
- 4.7 VARIABILITY OF THE CO<sub>2</sub> SYSTEM AT DIFFERENT TIME SCALES
- 4.8 CONTINENTAL SHELF  $CO_2$  SOURCES, SINKS AND FLUXES
- 4.9 ATMOSPHERIC O<sub>2</sub> MEASUREMENTS TO CONSTRAIN THE CARBON CYCLE

# 5. GLOBAL pCO<sub>2</sub> DATA SET

5.1 CDIAC INVENTORY OF CO<sub>2</sub> DATA

#### 6. STATUS OF <sup>13</sup>C MEASUREMENTS

- 6.1 INVENTORY OF OCEAN <sup>13</sup>C DATA
- 6.2 ATMOSPHERIC MEASUREMENTS

# 7. GLOBAL CHANGE ISSUES

- 7.1 ANTHROPOGENIC CO<sub>2</sub>
- 8. SOUTHERN OCEAN IRON EXPERIMENTS

#### 9. **EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES**

- 9.1 AUTONOMOUS OCEAN SYSTEMS
- 9.2 ATMOSPHERIC INSTRUMENTATION

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex I - page 2

# 10. NATIONAL REPORTS

- 10.1 U.S.
- 10.2 U.K
- 10.3 JAPAN
- 10.4 GERMANY

# 11. LOOKING AHEAD TO THE POST- JGOFS ERA

12. **REFERENCES** 

#### **ANNEX II**

#### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

# I. CO<sub>2</sub> PANEL MEMBERS

# Andrew G. DICKSON

Marine Physical Laboratory Scripps Institution of Oceanography University of California, San Diego 9500 Gilman Drive La Jolla, CA 92093-0902 USA Tel: (1 619) 534 2582 Fax: (1 619) 456 9079 E-mail: adickson@ucsd.edu

#### Truis JOHANNESSEN

Geophysical Department University of Bergen Allegt.70 N-5007 Bergen NORWAY Tel: (47 55) 58 4327/2615 Fax: (47 55) 58 9687/9883 E-mail: truls.johannessen@smr.uib.no truls@gfi.uib.no

#### Peter MAKKAVEEV

P.P. Shirshov Institute of Oceanology Russian Academy of Sciences Krasikova St. No. 23 Moscow 117218 RUSSIAN FEDERATION Tel: (7 095) 124 7742 (Work) (7 095) 412 4334 (Home) Fax: (7 095) 124 5983 E-mail: mkkaveev@ecosys.sio.rssi.ru

#### Liliane MERLIVAT

Université Pierre et Marie Curie LODYC Tour 14, 2ème étage, 4 place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 FRANCE Tel: (33 1) 44 27 7072 Fax: (33 1) 44 27 7159 E-mail: merlivat@lodyc.jussieu.fr Shizuo **TSUNOGAI** Laboratory of Marine & Atmospheric Geochemistry Div. of Ocean & Atmospheric Sciences Hokkaido University Sapporo 060 JAPAN Tel: (81 11) 706 2368 Fax: (81 11) 726 6234 E-mail: mag-hu@ees.hokudai.ac.jp

# Douglas WALLACE

Oceanographic & Atmospheric Sciences Division (Technical Director of US DOE's Global  $CO_2$  Survey) Brookhaven National Laboratory Dept. of Applied Science, Building 318 Upton, NY 11973 USA Tel: (1 516) 282 2945 Fax: (1 516) 282 3246 E-mail: wallace@bnl.gov

# Andrew WATSON (Chairman)

School of Environmental Sciences University of East Anglia Norwich NR4 7TJ UNITED KINGDOM Tel: (44 1603) 593 761 Fax: (44 1603) 507 719 E-mail: a.watson@pml.ac.uk

# C S. WONG

Center for Ocean Climatic Chemistry Institute of Ocean Sciences 9860 W. Saanich Rd. PO Box 6000 Sidney, BC V8L 4B2 CANADA Tel: (1 604) 363 6407 Fax: (1 604) 363 6476 E-mail: cswong@ios.bc.ca IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex II - page 2

# II. CO<sub>2</sub>PANEL MEMBERS NOT ATTENDING

Fortunat **JOOS** Physikalisches Institut C-14 Lab. Universität Bern Sidlerstrasse 5 CH-3012 Bern SWITZERLAND Tel: 41 31 631 44 61 Fax: 41 31 631 44 05 E-mail: joos@climate.unibe.ch

#### Frank J. MILLERO

Rosenstiel School of Marine & Atmospheric Sciences (RSMAS) 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway Miami, FL 33149-1098 USA Tel: (1 305) 361 47 07 Fax: (1 305) 361 47 11 or 361 46 89 E-mail: fmillero@rsmas.miami.edu

#### Graeme PEARMAN

CSIRO/Division of Atmospheric Research PMB 1 Mordialloc, Victoria 3195 AUSTRALIA Tel: (61 3) 586 76 50 Fax: (61 3) 586 75 53 E-mail: gip@dar.csiro.au

#### Alain POISSON

Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie Marines Université Pierre et Marie Curie Tour 24 Case 134 4, place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 FRANCE Tel: (33 1) 4427 4869 Fax: (33 1) 4427 4993 E-mail: apoisson@ccr.jussieu.fr

#### Taro TAKAHASHI

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Columbia University Palisades, NY 10964 USA Tel: (1 914) 365 8537 Fax: (1 914) 365 2312 E-mail: taka@ldeo.columbia.edu

#### III. INVITED GUESTS

#### Rosane GONCALVES ITO

Instituto Oceanografico Universidade de Sao Paulo Pca. Dp Pceanografico, 191 05508-900 Sao Paulo-SP BRAZIL Tel: 55 11 81 86 569 Fax: 55 11 210 30 92 E-mail: rgito@earth.io.usp.br

#### Alexander KOZYR

University of Tennessee Pellissippi Research Faculty 10521 Research Drive, Suite 100 Knoxville, TN 37932 USA Tel: 1 423 974 8408 Fax; 1 423 974 8448 E-mail: akozyr@utk.edu

#### Ludger MINTROP

Institute for Marine Sciences Dept. Of Marine Chemistry Duuesternbrooker Weg 20 24105 Kiel GERMANY Tel: 49 431 597 4023 Fax: 49 431 565 876 E-mail: lmintrop@ifm.uni-kiel.de

# Helmuth THOMAS

Institute of Biogeochemistry and Marine Chemistry University of Hamburg Jungiusstrasse 6 20355 Hamburg GERMANY Tel: 49 40 4123 3320 Fax: 49 40 4123 3050 E-mail: fg7a043@geowiss.uni-hamburg.de

#### Bernd SCHNEIDER

Baltic Sea Research Institute Seestrasse 15 18119 Warnemünde GERMANY Tel: (49 381) 519 7320 Fax: (49 381) 519 7302 E-mail: bschneider@io-warnemunde.d400.de

# Rik WANNINKHOF

Ocean Chemistry Department NOAA/AOML 4301 Rickenbacher Causeway Miami, Fl 33149 USA Tel: 305 361 4379 Fax: 305 361 4582 E-mail: wanninkh@aoml.noaa.gov

# IV. IOC SECRETARIAT

# Arthur ALEXIOU

26, rue de la Fédération 75015 Paris Tel: (33 1) 45 68 40 40 Fax: (33 1) 45 68 58 12 E-mail: a.alexiou@unesco.org

•

#### ANNEX III

#### AT-SEA INTERCOMPARISON EXERCISE

#### **Technical Aspects**

The intercomparison exercise consisted almost entirely of continuous underway sampling of surface seawater. Only four CTD stations were included in the programme. In order to encounter the widest possible range of surface seawater temperatures and salinities a cruise track via the Flemish Cap off Newfoundland was chosen. All participating groups operated their underway pCO<sub>2</sub> systems simultaneously on a separate seawater pumping system and a consistent suite of calibration gases, all of which were provided by the organizer.

As with most up-to-date research vessels, the R/V METEOR provides a special seawater pumping system for scientific purposes. From experience it is known that the use of this kind of pumping systems for measurements of dissolved gases is hampered by a number of problems. Pump action may cause cavitation when underpressure is applied to the water flow, thus making undisturbed gas measurements nearly impossible. Due to the location of the seawater intake (i.e, bow intake on R/V METEOR) air bubbles are also introduced into the water lines in rough seas. This again possibly biases the concentration of dissolved gases, at times, or even makes seawater sampling technically impossible. Furthermore the unavoidable warming of seawater during its travel from the seawater intake to the user is significant. In the case of  $pCO_2$  measurements it is desirable to have the temperature change as little as possible.

Due to the sluggish equilibration of  $CO_2$  between gas and water phase, sampling for  $QO_2$  measurements (e.g.,  $pCO_2$ , pH,  $C_T$ ,  $A_T$ ) is less susceptible to artefacts caused by imperfect pumping techniques as compared to non-reactive gases like oxygen. Nevertheless a careful sampling technique was an important aspect of the exercise. For this reason a simple and reliable underway pumping system (see also; KORTZINGER et al, 1996) was designed for use in the "moon pool" of R/V METEOR. The system consisted of a small conductivity-temperature-depth probe (CTD probe "ECO", ME Meerestechnik-Elektronik GrnbH, Trappenkamp, Germany) for measuring seawater temperature and salinity at the intake as well as a submersible pump, both of which were installed in the bottom plate of the "moon pool". To make the system as flexible as possible a separate GPS receiver (GPS 120, Garmin/Europe Ltd., Romsey, Hampshire UK) was included, CTD and navigational data from the GPS were continuously logged on a computer.

All seven underway  $pCO_2$  systems were operated simultaneously for most of the time between June 7 and June 17. Technical problems which occurred in some of the systems only caused short interruptions. Only one system suffered heavy damage in the infrared gas analyzer and had to quit measurements on June 16. The two underway spectrophotometric pH systems were operated throughout the cruise. The newly modified coulometric SOMMA system for underway determination of  $C_T$  was tested successfully at sea and contributed 452 high-quality underway measurements along the cruise track. This new application of the well-known SOMMA system demonstrated its potential for high-quality, high-resolution surface measurements of  $C_T$ . Synchronized with the XBT survey, a total of 57 discrete samples were taken from the seawater supply and were analyzed for  $C_T$  and  $A_T$ . The discrete  $pCO_2$  measurements could not be carried out on the same schedule; on only about 25 XBT stations were samples taken for this parameter.

In addition to the various surface measurements - whether continuous or discrete - four full depth CTD stations were carried out. Samples were drawn for measurements of all four  $CO_2$  system parameters (pH, pCO<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>T</sub>, A<sub>T</sub>) thus yielding the highest possible overdetermination of the marine  $CO_2$  system.

Participants had all their raw data delivered to the organizer by the end of the cruise. However, final data were not available in most cases in time for the writing of this summary. These final data sets were to be submitted to the organizer by September 19, 1996, After that, much thought would be needed

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex III - page 2

to go into teasing out the information contained in the data collected and into preparation of the final exercise report. The ultimate aim was to provide information on the comparability of data sets, which is a crucial point in the current international effort to bring together all available  $pCO_2$  data, and to develop recommendations for future field measurements of  $pCO_2$ .

# PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS

BNL Brookhaven National Laboratory, Oceanographic and Atmospheric Science						
	Upton, Long Island, NY 11973, USA					
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization, Division of					
	Oceanography, Castry Esplanade, Hobart TAS 7001, Australia					
IFMK	Institut fur Meereskunde an der Universitat Kiel, Dusternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel,					
	Germany					
IIM	Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas, Eduardo Cabello 6, 36208 Vigo, Spain					
MRI	Meteorological Research Institute, Nagamine 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan					
NBI	Niels Bohr Institute for Astronomy, Physics and Geophysics, University of Copenhagen.					
	Juliane Maries Vej 30, Copenhagen, Denmark					
OU	Okayama University, Faculty of Environmental Science and Technology,					
	Tsushima-Naka 2-1-1, Okayama 700, Japan					
SIO	Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Marine Physical Laboratory, 9500 Gilman Drive,					
	La Jolla, CA 92093-0902, USA					
UP&MC	Universite Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI), Laboratoire Physique et Chimie Marines, 4					
	Place Jussieu, Tour 24-25, 5230 Paris Cedex 05, France					
WHOI	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Department of Marine Chemistry and					
	Geochemistry, 360 Woods Hole Road, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA					

# REFERENCES

Johnson, K.M., A. Kortzinger, L. Mintrop and J.C. Duinker. Coulometric total carbon dioxide analysis for marine studies: The feasibility of continuous unattended measurements aboard stationary or underway platforms, in prep., to be submitted to Mar. Chem.

Kortzinger, A., H. Thomas, B. Schneider, N. Gronau, L. Mintrop and J.C. Duinker, 1996. At-sea intercomparison of two newly designed underway pCO2 systems - Encouraging results. Mar. Chem., 52: 133-145.

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

- A<sub>T</sub> Total alkalinity
- CTD Conductivity-Temperature-Depth probe
- C<sub>T</sub> Total dissolved inorganic carbon
- pCO<sub>2</sub> Partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>
- XBT Expendable BathyThermograph
- GPS Global Positioning System

#### ANNEX IV

Letter sent to John Church and Breck Owens (co-chairs of the WOCE SSG), copy to John Gould, Director of the WOCE IPO, Roger Hansen, Chair of JGOFS, John Field, President of SCOR, Hartmut Grassl, Andrew Watson and Douglas Wallace.

Dear John and Breck,

On the agenda of the recent meeting (2-4 June 97) of the IOC-JGOFS  $CO_2$  Panel was a presentation and discussion concerning an intercomparison of inorganic carbon data collected on different intersecting WOCE cruises as part of the JGOFS Global Survey of  $CO_2$  in the oceans. This work, which has just begun with an analysis of US data collected in the Pacific Ocean, is a very important aspect of the earliest stages of data synthesis. The purpose is to ensure that the global  $CO_2$  data set is internally consistent with respect to accuracy of measurements taken by different groups. It is intended to extend this analysis to all ocean basins sampled during the survey. This is essential because, despite the best efforts of section-by-section quality control efforts, there is a potential for systematic biases of varying signs and amplitudes to exist between individual cruise data sets. While the Panel is focussing on  $CO_2$ , Panel members believe this is true of all biogeochemical parameters. This includes WOCE parameters such as dissolved nutrients and oxygen for which, unlike  $CO_2$ , no universally accepted Certified Reference Materials exist. Biases can exist because calibrations, and therefore absolute accuracy of these parameter measurements is dependent on the in-house ability of individual measurement groups to prepare and analyse their own primary standards.

With this in mind, the Panel instructed me to draw the attention of the WOCE scientific community to the fact that the inorganic carbon data collected during the WOCE Hydrographic Programme benefited from a very extensive, internationally co-ordinated quality control programme. Notably, for almost every cruise on which inorganic carbon data were collected, there were corresponding measurements of Certified Reference Materials. The results of these analyses form the basis for an assessment of the absolute accuracy and internal consistency of the global  $CO_2$  data set and it is becoming apparent that the data sets, in general, exhibit remarkable consistency.

The relevance of this for WOCE is, that despite the significant variability in the concentrations of individual parameters in the deep ocean (which complicate simple direct comparisons of data collected on different cruises), the stoichiometric relations between inorganic carbon and the concentrations of nutrients and oxygen are relatively robust and invariant. Analyses of results from individual cruises and high-quality historical data show that such multivariate relations hold over extensive spatial and temporal scales. Therefore a multivariate approach to the analysis of cruise data allows astigmatic biases to be identified more reliably than via direct comparisons.

The Panel therefore would like to suggest that globally-consistent inorganic carbon data collected during the JGOFS Global  $CO_2$  Survey on WOCE Hydrographic Programme cruises could be used for an assessment of the internal consistency of WOCE nutrient and oxygen data. The  $CO_2$  data set, because it benefited from the availability of a universally accepted Certified Reference Material, can form the basis for a multivariate analysis of the nutrient and oxygen data collected during WOCE as part of the WOCE synthesis process.

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex IV - page 2

The best person with whom to discuss this further would be Panel member Douglas Wallace, Technical Director of US DOE's Global  $CO_2$  Survey, He stands ready to help if need be and can be contacted as follows:

Oceanographic & Atmospheric Sciences Brookhaven National Laboratory Dept of Applied Science, Bldg. 318 P.O. Box 5000 Upton, NY 11973 Tel: (1 516) 344-2945 Fax: (1 516) 344 3246 e-mail: wallace@bnl.gov

Sincerely,

Arthur G. Alexiou IOC Secretariat for IOC-JGOFS Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Advisory Panel

#### ANNEX V

# THE TOTAL ALKALINITY (A<sub>T</sub>) IN ANOXIC WATERS OF THE BLACK SEA AND IN SEA-RIVER MIXTURE ZONES

It is well known that the majority of the methods for the determination of the carbon system are adapted for the average ocean conditions. When we begin to calculate components of the carbon system of the waters with anomalous salinity and hydrochemical composition that differs from the world-ocean average, certain difficulties appear. Though not important when we deal with direct measurements, it becomes critical when calculating the components. One aspect of this question - the calculation of  $A_T$  is discussed below using the example of the anoxic waters of the Black Sea and of the sea-river mixture zones.

The peculiarities of the hydrological and hydrochemical regimes of the Black Sea (the heavy continental in-flow and the stable layering between the upper and deep sea waters) create the conditions for the accumulation for dissolved inorganic carbon and other nutrients in the water column to a greater degree than in the other ocean basins. One of the complicated methodological problems that appears in the connection with this fact is the determination of  $A_T$  in deep waters and the interpretation of the results. The results of the  $A_T$  in the Black Sea waters cannot be determined as the sum of the carbon and borate alkalinity as it is for the main open ocean waters of the world (Modern Methods ...., 1992). The chemical composition of the waters of the anoxic zone of the Black Sea does not allow such a simplification.

The question of the composition of the total alkalinity of the Black Sea waters has its principal significance when using alkalinity in relation to studying the carbon equilibrium. The use of the simplified  $A_T$  calculation model may lead to large errors in the results. The calculation of all the parts of the  $A_T$  is very complicated and most likely even impossible. It is necessary to determine when the error band in calculations will be in the acceptable range and, at the same time, when the calculations will be possible and when measurements can be provided for, practically speaking.

For this purpose the main component parts of the  $A_T$  in the anoxic waters of the Black Sea were examined. The work was carried out based on the results of the scientific expeditions on board ships of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Makkaveev, 1995; Makkaveev, Bubnov, 1993) and the American expedition on the ship **KNOR** (Goyet *et al.*, 1991) and averages of the vertical distribution of the pH,  $A_T$  and the main nutrients (Zhorov *et al* 1980); Skopincev, 1975). The quantities contributing to the  $A_T$  were examined in the following way:

$$A_T = Ac + Ab + Ap + Asi + As + An$$

with Ac - the carbon part of the  $A_T$ , Ab - borate alkalinity, Ap - phosphate alkalinity, Asi - silicate alkalinity, As - alkalinity associated with hydrogen sulphide, An - alkalinity associated with nitrogen formation.

#### **Borate Alkalinity**

In the deep waters, the Ab part of the  $A_T$  falls to 0.3% and becomes less significant than the other components.

#### Sulphide and Hydrosulphide Alkalinity

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex V - page 2

The greater part of  $A_T$  in deep waters of the Black Sea after the carbon part is due to sulphide and hydrosulphide alkalinity which is related to the products of ionization of the hydrogen sulphide in waters : [HS<sup>-</sup>] + [S<sup>2-</sup>].

The As influence on the  $A_T$  can be neutralized by precipitation of the hydrogen sulphide with HgCl<sub>2</sub>: HgCl<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>S = HgS + 2H<sup>+</sup> + 2Cl<sup>-</sup>, adding it to the sample before test (Goyet, 1991). The other way is by calculation based on the measurements of A and H<sub>2</sub>S, using thermodynamic constants of dissociation of hydrogen sulphide (Volkov, 1984).

#### Nitrogen Alkalinity

Nitrate- and nitrite-nitrogen in the waters of the Black Sea deeper than 200m very quickly decreases to 0 (Skopincev, 1975). The main part of inorganic nitrogen is contained in the form of ammonium. Ammonia that is formed inside the anoxic zone does not oxidize to nitrate but is stored in these waters. The concentrations of ammonium-nitrogen in the Black Sea is very high and may reach more than 1g N/1 in the near-bottom layer. But we cannot say for sure how it can influence the quantity of alkalinity. To define more precisely the contribution of ammonium on the  $A_T$ , the experimental work was done (Makkaveev, 1995) which showed that if ammonia exists in water in the form of the free ammonium it is necessary to take it into consideration. Volkov believes that the influence of this ion is 10 times less than according to my data.

#### Silicate Alkalinity

The concentration of dissolved inorganic silicate in the anoxic Black Sea waters rises considerably in comparison with the corresponding deep waters of the world ocean. Its concentration increases from 3 - 4 mg Si/1 in the upper boundary of the anoxic zone up to 8 (and more) mg Si/1 at 2000m depth (Skopincev, 1975). The dissolved inorganic silicate is presented in the main in form of the monomer of the orthosilicate acid (H<sub>4</sub>SIO<sub>3</sub>) and its ions (Gusarova, 1977). The contribution of the metasilicic acid (H<sub>2</sub>SIO<sub>3</sub>) to the total amount of the dissolved silicate is minor, only about 2% (Popov *et al.*, 1979). The concentration of the other forms of the dissolved inorganic silicate in waters is still less and their influence on the A<sub>T</sub> value is negligible. The concentration of the Si increases with depth but the degree of dissociation of the H<sub>4</sub>SiO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> decreases with the depth (it is linked to the decreases of the pH value). These processes are balancing and for this reason the change with depth of the silicate alkalinity is small compared to the other components of the A<sub>T</sub>.

#### **Phosphate Alkalinity**

The dissolved inorganic phosphorus is basically in the form of orthophosphoric acid ( $H_3PO_4$ ) and its ions. The concentration of the phosphate rise in comparison with the world ocean is the same as that of the other nutrients. In the natural pH range (7 - 8), the [ $HPO_4^{2-}$ ] ion predominates (Popov *et al.*, 1979). According to Skopincev, (1975), the relative value of the [ $HPO_4^{2-}$ ] in deep waters is about 95% (from the total  $H_3PO_4$ ), the value [ $H_2PO_4$ ] is about 4%. The contribution of the other form of phosphate to the  $A_T$ value can be neglected. It compares well with the calculations of the concentration of the orthophosphoric acid ions (Gusev *et al.*, 1989).

The results of the calculations are shown in Table 1.

#### TABLE 1

Depth (m)	Ac	Ab	Ap	Asi	As	An
(11)			m	g-eqv/l		
50	3.240	0.031	0.001	0.015	0.000	0.003
75	3.247	0.023	0.002	0.014	0.000	0.004
100	3.214	0.020	0.003	0.014	0.000	0.005
150	3.272	0.020	0.006	0.016	0.005	0.012
200	3.335	0.020	0.009	0.020	0.020	0.018
300	3.380	0.020	0.011	0.022	0.057	0.026
400	3.443	0.020	0.012	0.025	0.090	0.040
500	3.574	0.019	0.013	0.024	0.096	0.055
750	3.778	0.020	0.015	0.028	0.175	0.068
1000	3.887	0.021	0.015	0.029	0.200	0.076
1250	3.878	0.020	0.016	0.032	0.215	0.078
1500	3.916	0.018	0.016	0.027	0.222	0.080
1750	3.958	0.019	0.016	0.034	0.222	0.086
2000	3.967	0.018	0.016	0.029	0.241	0.089

The average value of the parts of the total Alkalinity calculated with the use of data (Zhorov et al 1980); Makkaveev, Bubnov, 1993; Makkaveev, 1995; Skopincev, 1975).

As may be seen from Table 1, at the 200 metre depth level the hydrosulphide, silicate and ammonium alkalinities approach equality with the boron alkalinity and, when deeper, considerably surpass it. The relative value of the boron alkalinity in the deep waters is so little (about 0.3% of the total alkalinity) that it need not be taken into consideration. If the other components are not taken into consideration, however, (i.e., the ions of the hydrogen sulfide, ammonium, orthosilicate and orthophosphoric acid) the error when calculating the components of the carbon system in the anoxic Black Sea waters can reach 11%. The analysis of the vertical distribution of the dissolved inorganic carbon and comparison of it with the distribution of hydrogen sulphide and ammonium show that its increase with depth is greater than can be explained by only the microbial transformation of sulphur and the formation of the ammonium.

IOC-JGOFS/CO<sub>2</sub>-VII/3 Annex V - page 4

#### **Sea-River Zones**

For the waters of the sea-river mixture zones the decrease of the salinity and entrance of the nutrient elements also lead to redistribution of the  $A_T$  components. In Table 2, their contribution to the  $A_T$  for the Ob river and the Enisey river are shown.

#### **TABLE 2**

Values of Alkalinity components to the  $A_T$  as a function of salinity in the Ob and Enisey rivers

S	A <sub>T</sub>	Ab	Asi	Ар	An
0 - 5	1.141	<0.001	0.022	<0.001	0.002
5 - 10	1.293	0.005	0.006	0.002	0.007
10 - 15	1.371	0.010	0.008	<0.001	0.002
15 - 20	1.708	0.017	0.004	0.001	0.003

One can see that with the decrease of the salinity the silicate part of the  $A_T$  increases. The phosphate and ammonium parts can reach high values in the near-bottom layer, where a great quantity of the organic matter is present.

One must approach each case individually taking into consideration the given task and whether the effect on the  $A_T$  of the various components is significant.

#### References

Goyet C., Bradshaw A.L., Brewer P.G. The Carbonate System in the Black Sea. Deep-Sea Research, v.38, N 2A, 1991, p.S1049 - S1068.

Gusarova A.N. The Silicate in the Ocean's Waters. Ph.D. Thesis, Moscow, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Institute of Oceanology, 1977, p153.

Gusev V.V., et al. Phosphorous system. Chemistry of the Ocean's Waters. Moscow, Nauka, 1989, p.204-253

Makkaveev P.N. Dissolved Inorganic Carbon and the Total Alkalinity in Anoxic Waters of the Black Sea. Oceanology, 1995, v.35, N 4, c.537-543.

Makkaveev P., N. Bubnov P.V. The Peculiarities of the Vertical Distribution of the Carbon System Components in the Aerobic Zone of the Black Sea. Oceanology, 1993, v.33, N 3, c.354-359.

Modern Methods of Ocean Hydrochemical Investigations. Moscow, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Institute of Oceanology, 1992, p200.

Popov N.I., Fedorov K.N., Orlov V.V. Ocean's Waters. Moscow, Nauka, 1978, p327.

Skopincev B.A. The Shape of the Modern Chemical Composition of the Black Sea Waters. Moscow, Nauka, 1975, p336.

Volkov I.I. The Geochemistry of the Sulphur in Sediments. Moscow, Nauka, 1984, p272.

Zhorov V.A., et al. The Peculiarities of the Hydrochemical Regime of the Black Sea. Complex Investigation of the Black Sea. Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1980, p.165-188.